# Conformal Mappings (Zill \& Wright Chapter 20) 
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## Tuesday 5 February 2012

## 1 Motivation

We turn now from contour integration back to some ideas we first touched on when we introduced complex functions, specifically:

- A complex function $w=f(z)$ can be thought of as a mapping from the $z=x+i y$ plane with coördinates $(x, y)$ to the $w=u+i v$ plane with coördinates $(u, v)$.
- If $\varphi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y)$ is analytic, then the real functions $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ are harmonic, i.e., each obeys the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main application of this will be in converting the Laplace equation with boundary conditions on an awkward region in the $(x, y)$ plane to one on a more convenient region in the $(u, v)$ plane. I.e., if we have a harmonic function $U(u, v)$ which is part of an analytic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=F(w)=U(u, v)+i V(u, v) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

on some convenient region in the $w$ plane and $w=f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is an analytic function which maps the region of interest in the $(x, y)$ plane onto the convenient region in

[^0]the $(u, v)$ plane, then the chain rule tells us that $F(f(z))$ is an analytic function of $z$; that means if we write
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y):=F(f(z))=U(u(x, y), v(x, y))+i V(u(x, y), v(x, y)) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

then we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y)=U(u(x, y), v(x, y)) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a harmonic function of $x$ and $y$ with boundary conditions on the appropriate region.

## 2 Complex Functions as Mappings

Recall that when we first introduced complex functions, we proposed the idea of mapping the $z$ plane, with coördinates $x=\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $y=\operatorname{Im}(z)$, into the $w$ plane, with coördinates $u=\operatorname{Re}(w)$ and $v=\operatorname{Im}(w)$.

We now want to think about how this mapping affects not just curves, but regions of the $(x, y)$ plane.

Translation: $f(z)=z+b$ where $b=h+i k$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=x+h  \tag{2.1a}\\
& v=y+k \tag{2.1b}
\end{align*}
$$



Magnification: $f(z)=\alpha z$ where $\alpha$ is a positive real constant.


Rotation: $f(z)=e^{i \theta_{0}} z$ where $\theta_{0}$ is a real constant.


Effect of raising to a power: $f(z)=z^{\alpha}$ scales angles at $z=0$ by a factor of $\alpha$ :


## Practice Problems

20.1.1, 20.1.5, 20.1.7, 20.1.11, 20.1.13, 20.1.15, 20.1.21, 20.1.23, 20.1.25, 20.1.29

Thursday 7 February 2013

## 3 Conformal Mappings

### 3.1 Application to Dirichlet Problems: Exercise 20.2.19

We are asked to solve the Dirichlet problem (Laplace equation with specified boundary conditions for a region which looks like the figure at left:


We are also told to use the function $U=(1 / \pi) \operatorname{Arg} w$ to do this. Now if we remember that $\operatorname{Ln} w=\log _{e}|w|+i \operatorname{Arg} w$, then we can see that the proposed $U$ is part of the analytic function

$$
\begin{align*}
F(w) & =\frac{1}{i \pi} \operatorname{Ln} w=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Arg} w-\frac{i}{\pi} \log _{e}|w|=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{atan} 2(v, u)+i\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \log _{e} \sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& =U(u, v)+i V(u, v)
\end{align*}
$$

If we note that

$$
\operatorname{atan} 2(v, 0)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } v>0  \tag{3.2}\\ \pi & \text { if } v<0\end{cases}
$$

we see that $U(u=0, v>0)=0$ and $U(u=0, v<0)=1$, so $U(u, v)$ solves the Dirichlet problem with the boundary conditions shown on the right in the figure above. So if we have a conformal transformation which maps the ( $x, y$ ) region above (a $45^{\circ}$ wedge) onto the ( $u, v$ ) region above (the upper half plane), we can use it to solve the Dirichlet problem in the ( $x, y$ ) plane. We can do this if we quadruple the angle at $z=0$, and we saw last time that the mapping which does this is $f(z)=z^{4}$. So the solution $\varphi(x, y)$ can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y)=F(f(z))=\frac{1}{i \pi} \operatorname{Ln}\left(z^{4}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we could expand out $(x+i y)^{4}$, but since it's inside a logarithm, it's much simpler to note $\operatorname{Ln}\left(z^{4}\right)=4 \operatorname{Ln} z$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y)=\frac{1}{i \pi} \operatorname{Ln}\left(z^{4}\right)=\frac{4}{i \pi} \operatorname{Ln} z=\frac{4}{\pi} \operatorname{Arg} z-\frac{4 i}{\pi} \log _{e}|z| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y)=\frac{4}{\pi} \operatorname{atan} 2(y, x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in this case, since the wedge contains only $x>0$, we can write the solution to the Dirichlet problem as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y)=\frac{4}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x} \quad x \geq y \geq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we didn't actually need to use a conformal transformation to solve this problem, because the region in the $(x, y)$ plane was simple enough that we could have written down $\frac{4}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$ in the first place. But in problems with more complicated geometry, more complicated conformal transformations are needed. There is a table of these in Appendix IV of Zill and Wright.

### 3.2 Conditions for Conformality

Having considered complex functions as mappings from the $(x, y)$ plane to the $(u, v)$ plane, we now turn specifically to conformal mappings. "Conformal" means preserving angles, i.e., if two curves $z_{1}(t)$ and $z_{2}(t)$ intersect (at a point $\left.z_{0}=z_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=z_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ at an angle $\alpha$ in the $(x, y)$ plane, the mapped curves $w_{1}(t)=f\left(z_{1}(t)\right)$ and $w_{2}(t)=f\left(z_{2}(t)\right)$ will intersect (at the point $\left.f\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=w_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ at the same angle in the $(u, v)$ plane. We can see what conditions on $f(z)$ achieve this by considering the heading of a curve $z(t)$, i.e., what angle its tangent vector makes to a line parallel to the real axis. Since the curve $z(t)=x(t)+i y(t)$ has $x$ coördinate $x(t)$ and $y$ coördinate $y(t)$, its tangent vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t) \hat{x}+y^{\prime}(t) \hat{y} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The heading of this vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{atan} 2\left(y^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=\operatorname{Arg} z^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the angle at which the curves $z_{1}(t)$ and $z_{2}(t)$ intersect is the difference of their headings,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\arg z_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\arg z_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have written arg rather than the principal value Arg because adding multiples of $2 \pi$ to won't change its physical meaning.

Likewise, heading of the curve $w(t)=f(z(t))$ is $\operatorname{Arg} w^{\prime}(t)$. The chain rule tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)=f^{\prime}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the heading is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg w^{\prime}(t)=\arg f^{\prime}(z(t))+\arg z^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)=\arg z_{1}+\arg z_{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the headings of the two curves $w_{1}(t)$ and $w_{2}(t)$ at the point of intersection are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg w_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\arg f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\arg z_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)  \tag{3.13a}\\
& \arg w_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\arg f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\arg z_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{3.13b}
\end{align*}
$$

which means the angle between them is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg w_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\arg w_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\arg z_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\arg z_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This demonstration works as long as $\arg f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is well defined. So the function $f(z)$ has to be analytic (so that $f^{\prime}(z)$ exists along the curves), but also $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ must be non-zero, because $\arg 0$ is undefined. I.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \text { defines a conformal mapping wherever } f(z) \text { is analytic and } f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this was the case with our example of $f(z)=z^{2}$ on Tuesday. Since $f^{\prime}(z)=2 z$ which is zero only at $z=0$, the mapping is conformal except at $z=0$. We saw this, as the right angles at the corners of the square remained right angles, except for the one at the origin.

## 4 Geometric Applications of Analytic Functions

### 4.1 Potential for a Vector Field

Recall that when we first defined a complex function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=f(x+i y)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduced the Cauchy-Riemann equations from

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x+i y)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x+i y)=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also saw that the Cauchy-Riemann equations meant that the Pólya vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}=u(x, y) \hat{x}-v(x, y) \hat{y} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

had zero divergence and zero curl:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{div} \vec{H}=\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0  \tag{4.4a}\\
& \operatorname{curl} \vec{H}=\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0 \tag{4.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

Another fact from vector calculus is that any vector field with zero curl can be written as a gradient of some scalar field, i.e., there should be a $\varphi(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}=\tilde{\nabla} \varphi=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \hat{x}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \hat{y} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can construct this field by starting with the analytic function $f(z)$. Since it is analytic, we can construct an antiderivative $F(z)$ such that $f(z)=F^{\prime}(z)$. Write the real and imaginary parts of the antiderivative as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=F(x+i y)=\varphi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that we can write the derivative as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x+i y)=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x+i y)=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy-Riemann equations applied to $F(z)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} & =\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}  \tag{4.8a}\\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} & =-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \tag{4.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

so we can also write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=F^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

That makes the Pólya vector field for $f(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \hat{x}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \hat{y}=\tilde{\nabla} \varphi \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar field $\varphi$, which is harmonic, is called the potential for the Pólya vector field $\vec{H}$.

### 4.2 Sample Application: Exercise 20.6.5

We are told that the potential on the wedge $0 \leq \operatorname{Arg} z \leq \pi / 4$ satisfies the boundary conditions $\varphi(x, 0)=0$ and $\varphi(x, x)=1$ for $x>0$. We're asked to find the complex potential, equipotential lines, and force field $\vec{F}$. This is just the geometry we considered in Exercise 20.2.1:

so we know the complex potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\varphi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y)=\frac{4}{i \pi} \operatorname{Ln} z=\frac{4}{\pi} \operatorname{atan} 2(y, x)-\frac{4 i}{\pi} \log _{e} \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=F^{\prime}(z)=\frac{4}{i \pi z}=\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{-y+i x} \frac{-y-i x}{-y-i x}=\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{-y-i x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Pólya vector field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}=\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{-y-i x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\left(-\frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \hat{x}+\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \hat{y}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \hat{x}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \hat{y}=\tilde{\nabla} \varphi \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

this tells us that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \operatorname{atan} 2(y, x) & =-\frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}  \tag{4.15a}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \operatorname{atan} 2(y, x) & =\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \tag{4.15b}
\end{align*}
$$

By the way, I think this means the answer to this problem in the back of Zill and Wright is actually wrong!

## Practice Problems

20.2.1, 20.2.3, 20.2.5, 20.2.7, 20.2.9, 20.2.19, 20.2.23, 20.6.1, 20.6.3, 20.6.5
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